
Lecture 2

1. Appendix

1) Proof of Ascoli-Arzelà Lemma

Step 1. Construction of the desired subsequence.

Take the whole rational numbers $\{r_i\}$ in $[a, b]$. Since $\{f(r_1)\}$ is bounded in R^n , there exists a convergent subsequence $\{f_{1k}(r_1)\}$ ($k \in N^+$) by Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, i.e. $\{f_{1k}(t)\}$ converges at $t = r_1$. For $\{f_{1k}(r_2)\}$, which is still bounded in R^n , there exists a convergent subsequence $\{f_{2k}(r_2)\} \subset \{f_{1k}(r_2)\}$ by the same reason. $\{f_{2k}(t)\}$ converges at $t = r_1, r_2$. After similar n steps, we can find following countable subsequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} f_{11}(t) & f_{12}(t) & \cdots & f_{1n}(t) & \cdots & & \\ f_{21}(t) & f_{22}(t) & \cdots & f_{2n}(t) & \cdots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & & \\ f_{n1}(t) & f_{n2}(t) & \cdots & f_{nn}(t) & \cdots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \end{array}$$

where $\{f_{nk}(t)\}$ converges at $t = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$.

Taking the diagonal sequence $g_n(t) = f_{nn}(t)$ ($n \in N^+$), this sequence converges at any $\{r_i\}$ by the construction because $\{g_n(r_i)\}_{n \geq i} = \{f_{nn}(r_i)\}_{n \geq i}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{in}(r_i)\}_{n \geq i}$ which converges.

Step 2. It remains to show that $g_n(t)$ is uniformly convergent on $[a, b]$, i.e.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } N \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } n, m \geq N \Rightarrow \|g_n(t) - g_m(t)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $g_n(t)$ converges on $\{r_i\}$, we have that for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and any $r_i \in [a, b]$, there exists $N(r_i)$ s.t. $n, m > N(r_i) \Rightarrow \|g_n(r_i) - g_m(r_i)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

By equicontinuity, and for the above given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ s.t. for any $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$, $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow \|g_n(t_1) - g_n(t_2)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for all $n \in N^+$.

Taking $O(r_i, \delta(\varepsilon))$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} O(r_i, \delta(\varepsilon)) \supseteq [a, b]$. There exists a finite numbers

of $O(r_i, \delta(\varepsilon))$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$) s.t. $\bigcup_{i=1}^p O(r_i, \delta(\varepsilon)) \supseteq [a, b]$.

Let $N = \max\{N(r_1), N(r_2), \dots, N(r_p)\}$. Once $n, m \geq N$ and $t \in [a, b]$, there exists one $O(r_{i_0}, \delta(\varepsilon))$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq p$ s.t. $t \in O(r_{i_0}, \delta(\varepsilon))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_n(t) - g_m(t)\| &\leq \|g_n(t) - g_n(r_{i_0})\| + \|g_n(r_{i_0}) - g_m(r_{i_0})\| + \|g_m(r_{i_0}) - g_m(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

2) Proof of Peano Theorem by a Traditional Way

Construction for $t \in I_+$ only (the construction for $t \in I_-$ is similar).

Step 1. Construction:

For each $m \geq 1$, we subdivide I_+ with $I_+ = \bigcup_{k=1}^m [t_{k-1}^{(m)}, t_k^{(m)}]$, where $t_k^{(m)} = t_0 + \frac{hk}{m}$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We define $x_m(t)$ step by step on each subinterval $[t_{k-1}^{(m)}, t_k^{(m)}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_m(t) &= x_0 + f(t_0, x_0)(t - t_0) \text{ for } t \in [t_0^{(m)}, t_1^{(m)}], \text{ where } t_0^{(m)} = t_0, \\ &\Rightarrow x_m(t_1^{(m)}) = x_0 + f(t_0, x_0)(t_1^{(m)} - t_0); \end{aligned}$$

$$x_m(t) = x_m(t_1^{(m)}) + f(t_1^{(m)}, x_m(t_1^{(m)}))(t - t_1^{(m)}) \text{ for } t \in [t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)}],$$

$$\Rightarrow x_m(t_2^{(m)}) = x_m(t_1^{(m)}) + f(t_1^{(m)}, x_m(t_1^{(m)}))(t_2^{(m)} - t_1^{(m)});$$

By induction, if we have constructed $x_m(t) = x_m(t_{k-1}^{(m)}) + f(t_{k-1}^{(m)}, x_m(t_{k-1}^{(m)}))(t - t_{k-1}^{(m)})$

for $t \in [t_{k-1}^{(m)}, t_k^{(m)}]$, so we have $x_m(t_k^{(m)}) = x_m(t_{k-1}^{(m)}) + f(t_{k-1}^{(m)}, x_m(t_{k-1}^{(m)}))(t_k^{(m)} - t_{k-1}^{(m)})$.

Then, we define

$$x_m(t) = x_m(t_k^{(m)}) + f(t_k^{(m)}, x_m(t_k^{(m)}))(t - t_k^{(m)}) \text{ for } t \in [t_k^{(m)}, t_{k+1}^{(m)}].$$

So we have defined $x_m(t)$ on all $t \in I_+$, which is called the Euler polygons.

Step 2. $\{x_m(t)\}$ is well defined on I_+ :

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_m(t) - x_0\| &\leq \|x_m(t) - x_m(t_k^{(m)})\| + \|x_m(t_k^{(m)}) - x_m(t_{k-1}^{(m)})\| + \cdots + \|x_m(t_1^{(m)}) - x_0\| \\
 &= \|f(t_k^{(m)}, x_m(t_k^{(m)}))(t - t_k^{(m)})\| + \|f(t_{k-1}^{(m)}, x_m(t_{k-1}^{(m)}))(t_{k-1}^{(m)} - t_k^{(m)})\| \\
 &\quad + \cdots + \|f(t_0, x_0)(t_1^{(m)} - t_0)\| \\
 &\leq M(t - t_k^{(m)}) + M(t_{k-1}^{(m)} - t_k^{(m)}) + \cdots + M(t_1^{(m)} - t_0) \\
 &= M(t - t_0) \leq Mh \leq b, \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_{k+1}^{(m)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So that $(t, x_m(t)) \in Q$ for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_{k+1}^{(m)} \Rightarrow \{x_m(t)\}$ is well defined on I_+ .

Step 3. Since $x_m(t)$ is continuous at $t_k^{(m)}$, and $x_m(t)$ has a derivative

$f(t_k^{(m)}, x_m(t_k^{(m)}))$ on $[t_k^{(m)}, t_{k+1}^{(m)}]$, then,

$$x_m(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f^{(m)}(s) ds \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t \leq t_{k+1}^{(m)},$$

where $f^{(m)}(t) := f(t_j^{(m)}, x_m(t_j^{(m)}))$ for $t \in [t_j^{(m)}, t_{j+1}^{(m)}]$ (piecewise function).

Step 4. Show that $\{x_m(t)\}$ is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded.

For $t', t \in I_+$,

$$\|x_m(t) - x_m(t')\| = \left\| \int_t^{t'} f^{(m)}(s) ds \right\| \leq M |t - t'|, \quad \forall m \geq 1$$

and

$$\|x_m(t)\| \leq \|x_m(t_0)\| + \|x_m(t) - x_m(t_0)\| \leq \|x_m(t_0)\| + Mh,$$

hence, $\{x_m(t)\}$ is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded.

Step 5. Applying Ascoli–Arzela lemma, we have $x_{m_j}(t) \xrightarrow{E} x(t)$ on I_+ , where

$\{x_{m_j}(t)\} \subseteq \{x_m(t)\}$. We claim that $x(t)$ is the desired solution of (E) if

$$f^{(m_j)}(t) \xrightarrow{E} f(t, x(t)) \quad \text{on } I_+.$$

Step 6. Show that $f^{(m_j)}(t) \xrightarrow{E} f(t, x(t))$ on I_+ :

For simple notation, we suppose that $x_m(t) \xrightarrow{E} x(t)$ on I_+ . Then, for the given $\varepsilon > 0$, since $f \in C(Q)$ and Q is compact, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t', x')\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $\|(t - t', x - x')^T\| < \delta$, (uniformly continuous).

Now choose m so large such that $\frac{h}{m} < \frac{\delta}{3}$, $\frac{Mh}{m} < \frac{\delta}{3}$ and $\|x_m(t) - x(t)\| < \frac{\delta}{3}$

whenever $t \in I_+$. For $t \in I_+$, $t \in [t_k^{(m)}, t_{k+1}^{(m)}]$ for some k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(t_k^{(m)} - t, x_m(t_k^{(m)}) - x(t))^T\| &\leq |t_k^{(m)} - t| + \|x_m(t_k^{(m)}) - x(t)\| \\ &\leq |t_k^{(m)} - t| + \|x_m(t_k^{(m)}) - x_m(t)\| + \|x_m(t) - x(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{h}{m} + \frac{Mh}{m} + \frac{\delta}{3} \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|f^{(m)}(t) - f(t, x(t))\| = \|f^{(m)}(t_k^{(m)}, x_m(t_k^{(m)})) - f(t, x(t))\| < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$